

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,632	09/28/2001 7590 09/05/2003	Yohannes Chonde	60418A	Ç 5027	
7.77	CHEMICAL COMPANY		EXAM	INER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION					
P. O. BOX 19	967	•	COONEY, JOHN M		
MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		1	V 87			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	·	09/966,632	CHONDE ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John m Cooney	1711			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - External - If th - If NI - Fail - Any earr	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[<u></u>					
2a)□	,	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1				
+)₽3	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)						
,	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 						
,	Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
•	tion Papers	·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No			
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro	• •				
Attachme	•					
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·			
S Patent and	Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/966,632

Art Unit: 1711

Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Thermoplastic polymers as listed, for example, in claim 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1 and 7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/966,632

Art Unit: 1711

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to process, classified in class 264, subclass 45.
- II. Claims 7-20, drawn to product, classified in class 521, subclass 50.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as by leaching a leachable material from a thermoplastic material so as to form cells.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1711

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John m Cooney whose telephone number is 703-308-2433. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, james seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

Art Unit 1711